

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,594	BEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III	Art Unit 3749	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Allowable(1) Patrick F. O'Reilly III. (3) _____.(2) Thomas J. Burger, Attorney for Applicants. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2010**Time:** 11:15 am**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 12 and 13.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven B. McAllister/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749
 /Patrick F. O'Reilly III/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3749

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner discussed minor substantive revisions in independent claims 12 and 13 with the applicants' attorney so as to more clearly define the claimed invention over the prior art. After consulting with his client, the applicants' attorney agreed to all of the changes proposed by the examiner to more clearly recite the inventive features. The specific changes are documented in the attached Examiner's Amendment.